

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

---

JCP No. 08-23-90115  
JCP No. 08-23-90116

---

In re Complaint of John Doe<sup>1</sup>

This is a judicial complaint filed by a civil litigant (“complainant”) against two United States district judges who each dismissed lawsuits filed by the complainant.

The judicial complaint is incomprehensible and is written in a stream-of-consciousness format. The judicial complaint alleges that the district judges violated several federal statutes and constitutional provisions.


To the extent the judicial complaint could be interpreted to challenge any of the district judges’ orders, it must be dismissed as “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling.” 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); *accord* Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (J.C.U.S.) Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B). To the extent the judicial complaint alleges that the district judge engaged in any other misconduct, such allegations are “frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); *accord* J.C.U.S. Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D).

---

<sup>1</sup>Under Rule 4(f)(1) of the Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability of the Eighth Circuit, the names of the complainant and the judicial officer complained against are to remain confidential, except in special circumstances not here present.

Accordingly, the judicial complaint is dismissed.

January 22, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Lavenski R. Smith, Chief Judge  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the Eighth Circuit