

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

---

JCP No. 08-25-9528

JCP No. 08-25-9529

JCP No. 08-25-9530

---

In re Complaint of John Doe\*

---

This is a complaint of judicial misconduct by a plaintiff against a magistrate judge and a district judge who were assigned to his civil rights action filed in 2022, and against a magistrate judge who was assigned to his civil rights action filed in 2024.

The complaint alleges that the judges have engaged in misconduct by committing the federal crime of mail fraud and should be arrested immediately. The complaint asserts that the judges “have been violating 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1342—fictitious name or address—mail fraud,” and “18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242—deprivation of rights under color of law.” The complainant asserts that he has “no way to provide copies of the mail fraud” and thus “you will have to order the jail to provide me with a \$100,000 deposit on my inmate bank account to allow me to make the copies.” He alleges that the magistrate judge assigned to the earlier case “does not remit court filed document copies that [he has] requested several times.” The complainant adds that he has requested all e-mails that he sent from his personal e-mail account to the district court and the court of appeals. The complainant asserts

---

\*Under Rule 24(a) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, the names of the complainant and the subject judge are not disclosed. Citations or references herein to a “Rule” refer to these Rules.

that he “will pursue criminal charges on all you British Crown foreign agents working under USA Inc.”

The record shows that the complainant submitted a civil rights complaint against more than thirty officials in 2022. The magistrate judge directed the clerk to file the complaint and directed the complainant to file an amended complaint and a completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis* by a certain date. The complainant did not comply, but filed a motion for an extension of time. The magistrate judge issued a report recommending denial of the complainant’s motion for an extension of time and dismissal of the case without prejudice for failure to prosecute. After the complainant did not file objections within the time allowed, the district judge adopted the report and recommendation and dismissed the case without prejudice.

In 2024, the complainant filed another civil rights action. The magistrate judge in that case issued an order stating that the plaintiff had not clearly stated how each named defendant violated his federal constitutional rights, and directed the complainant to submit an amended complaint by a certain date. The magistrate judge extended the deadline several times due to changes in the complainant’s address. The complainant filed a “notice of mail tampering by detaining agencies,” and the magistrate judge issued an order directing the sheriff to ensure the complainant received documents promptly. After the complainant filed his judicial complaint, the magistrate judge recused herself, and the case was reassigned.

The complaint is dismissed as “frivolous,” and “based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D). The allegation that the judges committed mail fraud is conclusory, superficial, and unsupported. To the extent that the complaint’s allegations challenge any of the judges’ decisions, the allegations are dismissed as “directly related to the

merits of a decision or procedural ruling.” 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

For these reasons, the judicial complaint is dismissed.

/s/ Steven M. Colloton  
Chief Judge

Filed: October 14, 2025

---