

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

JCP No. 08-23-90124

In re Complaint of John Doe¹

This is a judicial complaint filed by a pro se litigant (“complainant”) against the United States district judge who dismissed the complainant’s employment action.

The judicial complaint alleges that the complainant filed a “Notice and Demand for [the district judge’s] identification and credentials” that “request[ed] a copy of [the district judge’s] oath, bonds and licenses.” The judicial complaint also alleges that the complainant filed a “Quo Warranto letter” with the court. The judicial complaint alleges that the district judge’s failure to respond to these filings constitutes judicial misconduct.

The judicial complaint is dismissed because it “alleges conduct that, even if true, is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts and does not indicate a mental or physical disability resulting in the inability to discharge the duties of judicial office.” Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (J.C.U.S.) Rule 11(c)(1)(A).

¹Under Rule 4(f)(1) of the Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability of the Eighth Circuit, the names of the complainant and the judicial officer complained against are to remain confidential, except in special circumstances not here present.

Accordingly, the judicial complaint is dismissed.

January 25, 2024

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Lavenski R. Smith", written over a horizontal line.

Lavenski R. Smith, Chief Judge
United States Court of Appeals
for the Eighth Circuit