## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

JCP No. 08-22-90088 JCP No. 08-22-90089 JCP No. 08-22-90090 JCP No. 08-22-90091

In re Complaint of John Doe\*

## **ORDER**

This is a judicial complaint alleging that four United States district judges "coordinated federal witness tampering, participated in political persecution . . ., engaged in partisan political politics while in federal office, weaponized . . . federal court deputies, stonewalled an investigation into criminal transactions, [and] conspired to obstruct justice . . . to cover up the . . . theft of four certified vital records . . . with intent to . . . 'defraud' more than \$100,000,000,000.00 from [the complainant's] father's estate," which caused the collapse of an enterprise. The lengthy complaint recites, based on attached public records, many recent legal attacks on former President Donald Trump in New York, Florida, and elsewhere; requests permission to file a Judicial Notice reflecting an ongoing pattern and practice of "corruption" by Mr. Trump; repeats the allegations made against another district judge and magistrate judge in JCP Nos. 08-22-90062 and -90063; and "demand[s] that the Court seize the assets and the corporate bank accounts of the U.S. Marshall, FBI, and DOJ." Because the complainant previously filed a pro-se complaint against the chief judge of this circuit, the complaint was referred to me as the next most senior circuit judge for review and appropriate action.

<sup>\*</sup>Under Rule 4(f)(1) of the Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability of the Eighth Circuit, the names of the complainant and the judicial officer complained against are to remain confidential, except in special circumstances not here present.

On September 26, 2022, I issued an order dismissing as frivolous the 400-page judicial complaint in JCP Nos. 08-22-90062 and -90063 that accused more than 90 defendants of a seditious criminal conspiracy, including four circuit judges, former Presidents and high ranking Executive Branch officials, the Commonwealth of Australia, and the United States House of Representatives. This complaint is more of the same, except that former President Trump and his attorneys take the place of the alleged seditious criminal conspirators accused in the prior complaint.

Once again, the lengthy complaint primarily and repetitively asserts numerous allegations against non-judicial officers. As the complainant knows from my September 26 Order, the judicial complaint procedure does not apply to other officials who work for or appear in the federal courts, nor to government entities or private persons or entities. See Rule 4 of the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States. The only allegations directed at the complained-against judges are unsupported and conclusory, indeed far-fetched if not preposterous. To the extent the complaint vaguely refers to prior litigation, the nature of the litigation and the roles of the complained-against judges, if any, are unexplained. These allegations of judicial misconduct are dismissed as "frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rules 11(c)(1)(C), (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States; Rule 4(c)(3) of the Eighth Circuit's Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability.

The complaint is dismissed in its entirety. All requests for further action or permission by the Judicial Council of the Eighth Circuit are denied.

November <u>//</u>, 2022

James B. Loken, Circuit Judge United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit